### UNCLASSIFIED

AD 400'399

Reproduced by the

ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA



### UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

# APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS LABORATORIES STANFORD UNIVERSITY CALIFORNIA

### THE ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY OF TWO TEST STATISTICS ASSOCIATED WITH THE TWO-SAMPLE PROBLEM

BY
SAUL BLUMENTHAL

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 85
February 28, 1963

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT Nonr-225(52)
(NR-342-022)
FOR
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH



400 399

### THE ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY OF TWO TEST STATISTICS ASSOCIATED WITH THE TWO-SAMPLE PROBLEM \*/

ру

Saul Blumenthal

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 85
February 28, 1963

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT Nonr-225(52)

(NR-342-022)

FOR

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH



Reproduction in Whole or in Part is Permitted for any Purpose of the United States Government

APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS LABORATORIES
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

This research was also supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant Number G-19126 at the University of Minnesota.

## THE ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY OF TWO TEST STATISTICS ASSOCIATED WITH THE TWO-SAMPLE PROBLEM by

Saul Blumenthal

#### O. Summary.

In this paper we prove the asymptotic normality of two statistics which have been proposed to test the hypothesis that two samples come from the same parent population. One statistic is the number of runs of X's and Y's in the combined sample of X's and Y's; the other is the sum of squares of "S<sub>1</sub>'s" where S<sub>1</sub> is the number of X's falling between the ith and (i-1)st largest Y's. Both statistics have been studied prev' susly, both lead to consistent tests, and both were known to be asymptotically normal under the null distribution. Here we prove limiting normality under a fairly wide class of alternatives. We also compare the limiting power of these tests. Our method, a study of conditional moments, can also be used to prove limiting normality of "combinatorial" statistics of greater generality than the "sum of squares" statistic which we study in detail.

#### 1. Introduction.

The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate the asymptotic normality of certain statistics which have been proposed for testing the "two sample" problem. Chief among these are the Wald-Wolfowitz run statistic and a statistic studied by Dixon [5] and by Blum and Weiss [1]. Since previous proofs of normality under the null hypothesis exist, the main contribution here is the proof of normality under a fairly wide class of alternative distributions. Using this result power can be computed for the tests in question. A comparison of limiting powers for these tests is made in Section 8.

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_m$  and  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  be two sets of independent random variables, the first set with common c.d.f. F(x) and the second set with common c.d.f. G(x). We assume that both F(x) and G(x) are absolutely continuous, and have continuous differentiable density functions f(x) and g(x), respectively. Further, we must assume for the purpose of using a convergence theorem in Section 3 (Theorem 3.2) that  $g(F^{-1}(x))/f(F^{-1}(x))$  ( $0 \le x \le 1$ ) is bounded. Whether this condition can be relaxed is open. For the purposes of proving a result about asymptotic normality of sample spacings in Section 7, we need to assume that  $g(F^{-1}(x))/f(F^{-1}(x))$  ( $0 \le x \le 1$ ) is also bounded away from zero. By the symmetry of the problem and the arbitrariness of labeling X and Y, these two conditions imply one another. Thus if one can be relaxed, both can be. We can note that if "truncated" tests are used, most distributions will meet these two conditions, however distributions commonly encountered fail to meet these two conditions when x is near 0 or 1 or both.

We assume that  $(m/n) = r + r_n$  where  $\sqrt{n} r_n \to 0$  as n increases. In the sequel, we treat m/n as a constant r without loss of generality.

Let  $Z_0 = G^{-1}(0)$ ,  $Z_{n+1} = G^{-1}(1)$ , and  $Z_1 < ... < Z_n$  be the values of the Y's arranged in increasing order. For each i=1,...,n+1, let  $S_i$  be the number of X's which lie in the interval  $[Z_{i-1}, Z_i]$ . All the statistics to be considered can be expressed as functions of the  $S_i$ . Since the  $S_i$  are invariant under probability transformations, we shall assume hereafter that f(x)=1 for  $0 \le x \le 1$ , that G(x) assigns unit mass to [0, 1], that  $G^{-1}(0)=0$ ,  $G^{-1}(1)=1$  and that g(x) is bounded above and below (away from zero). This last assumption assures the uniqueness of the inverse  $G^{-1}(x)$  for all x in [0, 1].

We shall denote the difference, or sample spacing,  $z_i - z_{i-1}$  by  $w_i$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,n+1$ .

The statistic proposed by Dixon is

$$V^{2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} S_{i}^{2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} S_{i}(S_{i}-1) + \frac{m}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} S_{i}(S_{i}-1) + r.$$

In Section 3, we study the distributions of "combinatorial" statistics of the form  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}{S_i\choose k}$ . Clearly,  $V^2$  has the same limiting distribution as

 $r + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} {S_i \choose 2}$ . Further, it is obvious that as test statistics,  $V^2$  and

 $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} {s_i \choose 2}$  will have the same properties. One could, in fact, consider the

possibility of using  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} {s_i \choose k}$  as a test statistic for k other than 2. Con-

sistency or lack thereof can be established easily using the convergence theorem of Blum and Weiss [1], and power could be computed using the results of our Section 3. We see no point in doing this here since in [1],  $V^2$  was shown to have some desirable power properties and no similar properties have been established for other values of k. In Section 4, the power of the test based on  $V^2$  is written down explicitly.

The run test is studied in Section 5 and its relation to the quantities  $S_1, \ldots, S_{n+1}$  is indicated there. This relation is exploited to prove the limiting normality of the run statistic by obtaining the limiting normality of a certain function of  $S_1, \ldots, S_{n+1}$ , namely  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \delta_o(S_i)$ , where  $\delta_o(x) = 1$  if x=0 and 0 otherwise.

The methods of proof in Sections 3 and 5 are similar and are justified by the argument given in Section 2.

It should be mentioned that tests for the one-sample test of fit which are based on statistics analogous to the above mentioned ones were proposed and studied by David [4], Kitabatake [8] and Okomoto [9], [10]. In the one-sample case, the sample intervals are  $[F_0^{-1}(\frac{i-1}{n}), F_0^{-1}(\frac{i}{n})]$  ( $i=1,\ldots,n$ ) where  $F_0(x)$  is the hypothesized distribution. The  $S_1$  are then the numbers of X's in these intervals (now fixed instead of being random). Because of the strong resemblance of the statistics, many of the computational schemes used by Kitabatake and Okomoto can be used for the two-sample case (see Sections 3 and 5).

In a recent article, Wilks [15] considers another statistic based on  $S_1, \ldots, S_{n+1}$ . He also indicates the utility of the one-sample methods although he does not elaborate in much detail on how they are to be used.

#### 2. General Approach.

In both proofs of normality (Sections 3 and 5) a conditional method of moments is used to establish the asymptotic normality given the  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  of

a function 
$$H(S_1, ..., S_{n+1})$$
. (In Section 3,  $H(S_1, ..., S_n)$  is  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} S_i^2$ , and

in Section 5 it is 
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \delta_0(S_i)$$
 where  $\delta_0(x) = 1$  if x=0 and 0 otherwise.)

This normality will be shown to hold for almost every sample sequence  $Y_1,Y_2,\dots$ . We now justify the particular method employed. Denote  $H(S_1,\dots,S_{n+1})$  by  $H_n(S)$ . Denote conditional expectation given  $Y_1,\dots,Y_n$  as  $E_n(\cdot 1Y)$  Our goal is to show that as n increases

(2.1) 
$$E e^{it\sqrt{n}(H_n(S)-EH_n(S))} \rightarrow e^{-\frac{t^2c}{2}}$$

We summarize our assumptions and result as

Theorem 2.1: If  $H_n(S)$  and  $E_n(H_n(S)|Y)$  are as given above, if  $\sqrt[n]{E_n(H_n(S)|Y)} - E_n(S)] \text{ considered as a function of } (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \text{ has a limiting non-degenerate Normal distribution, } N(0, c_1) \text{ and if}$ 

$$(2.2) \quad n^{p/2} \, \mathbb{E}_n \Big\{ [H_n(S) - \mathbb{E}_n(H_n(S)|Y)]^p \big| Y \Big\} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} (p-1)(p-3) \dots 3 \cdot lc_2^{p/2} & \text{if p is even} \\ 0 & \text{if p is odd} \end{cases}$$

with probability one, (where  $c_2$  is some constant) then (2.1) is true with  $c=c_1+c_2$ .

Proof: We can rewrite the expectation in (2.1) as

(2.3) 
$$E_{e}^{it\sqrt{n}[E_{n}(H_{n}(S)|Y) - E_{n}(S)]} = E_{n}[e^{it\sqrt{n}(H_{n}(S) - E_{n}(H_{n}(S)|Y))}]$$

The normality proof then consists of showing that the random variable it  $\sqrt{n}(H_n(S) - E_n(H_n(S)|Y) - \frac{t^2c_2}{2}$  with probability one as n increases, where  $c_2$  is an appropriate constant, and of showing that

it  $\sqrt{n}[E_n(H_n(S)|Y) - E_n(S)]$  approaches e as n increases. This latter convergence follows easily from the Lévy uniqueness theorem for characteristic functions and from our normality assumption. Thus if we can show the above convergence with probability one, because of the boundedness in absolute value of the exponentials in the expectations in (2.3), it must be that the limit as n increases of (2.3) is

(2.4) 
$$e^{-\frac{t^2c_2}{2}}$$
 lim  $E e^{it\sqrt{n}[E_n(H_n(S)|Y) - EH_n(S)]}$ 

which is in turn (by the result noted above)  $e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}(c_1+c_2)}$ , and this is the desired result. (In the cases which we shall consider,  $E_n(H_n(S)|Y)$  is known to have asymptotically a normal distribution from previous work (Weiss [14], Proschan

and thus we have part and Pyke [11]) of our work done in advance.)

To show the convergence with probability one of

it  $\sqrt{n}(H_n(S) - E_n(H_n(S)|Y))$  |Y| we shall study in Sections 3 and 5 the behavior of the moments  $E_n\{[\sqrt{n}(H_n(S) - E_n(H_n(S)|Y))]^p|Y\}$  p=1,2,3,... and in particular shall show that (2.2) holds.

A series expansion (with error term) of the expression

it  $\sqrt{n}(H_n(S) - E_n(H_n(S)|Y))$   $E_n[e]$ |Y] shows that the result (2.2) is sufficient to imply the desired convergence with probability one. This proves the theorem and shows the direction we follow in the sequel.

#### 3. Normality of Combinatorial Statistics.

In this section, we shall consider the limiting distributions of statistics of the form

(3.1) 
$$H_n^k(S) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{S_i(S_{i-1})...(S_{i-k})}{k!} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} {S_i \choose k}.$$

 $H_n^k(S)$  has the following interpretation: Consider all  $\binom{m}{k}$  k-tuples  $(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_k})$   $1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le m$  of the X's, and count the number of these such that all of  $X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_k}$  fall in the same sample interval  $[Z_{j-1}, Z_j]$ ,  $j=1,\dots,n+1$ .

Although we shall carry out the details only for k=2, it will be seen that the method will suffice for any k, and in fact will suffice to show the limiting joint normality of any finite set  $(H_n^{k_1}(S), \ldots, H_n^{p_i}(S))$  of p of these

quantities. Noting that  $H_n^1(S) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} S_i = \frac{m}{n} = r$ , it can then be seen that

the result obtained for  $H_n^k(S)$  implies the limiting normality of  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}S_i^k$  since the latter is a linear combination of  $H_n^p(S)$ ,  $p \le k$ . The same argument shows that finite collections of the form,  $(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}S_i^1,\ldots,\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}S_i^p)$  have a limiting joint normal distribution.

For real numbers  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  such that  $0 < x_i < 1$ ,  $(i=1, \dots, k)$ , we define

(3.2) 
$$t_k(x_1,...,x_k) = 1$$
 if  $x_1,...,x_k$  fall in the same sample interval otherwise

Note that implicitly  $t_k(x_1,...,x_k)$  is a function of  $Y_1,...,Y_n$  as well as  $x_1,...,x_k$ . Since the X's are independent, we would have that  $P[t_k(X_{i_1},...,X_{i_k})] = 1|Y] = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} W_i^k$  where  $W_i$  is the length of the i = 1 sample interval (based on  $Y_1,...,Y_n$ ). Note that we can write

(3.3) 
$$H_n^k(s) = \frac{1}{n} \Sigma t_k(X_{i_1}, ..., X_{i_k})$$

The sum  $\Sigma$  extends over all k-tuples  $(i_1,\ldots,i_k)$   $1 \le i_1 < \ldots < i_k \le m$  unless otherwise stated. In the form (3.3),  $H_n^k(S)$  looks deceptively like a "U statistic", which it is not in the strictest sense. Thus we cannot use the theorems for "U statistics" but must treat this separately. Note that

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbb{E}_{n}(c_{k}(X_{i_{1}},...,X_{i_{k}})|Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} W_{i}^{k}$$

Thus we have that

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbb{E}_{n}(\mathbb{H}_{n}^{k}(S)|Y) = \frac{1}{n} \quad \Sigma \quad \mathbb{E}_{n}(\mathbb{E}_{n}(\mathbb{E}_{1}, \dots, \mathbb{X}_{\underline{i}_{k}})|Y) = \frac{1}{n} \quad \Sigma \quad (\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathbb{W}_{\underline{i}}^{k})$$

$$= \frac{\binom{n}{k}}{n} \quad (\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathbb{W}_{\underline{i}}^{k}) = n^{k-1} \quad \frac{\mathbb{F}_{n}^{k}}{k!} \quad (\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathbb{W}_{\underline{i}}^{k}) + \delta_{n},$$

where  $\sqrt{n} \delta_n$  approaches 0 stochastically as n increases (see (3.21)).

The limiting standard normality of

(3.6) 
$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(n^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} W_i^k - k! \int_0^1 g^{1-k}(x) dx)}{\left[\left[(2k)! - 2k(k!)^2\right] \int_0^1 g^{1-2k}(x) dx - \left[(k-1) k! \int_0^1 g^{1-k}(x) dx\right]^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

has been demonstrated by Weiss [14], and again by Proschan and Pyke [11].

In view of Theorem 2.1, it remains to study the conditional moments of

(3.7) 
$$\sqrt{n} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \Sigma \left( t_k(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_k}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} W_i^k \right) \right]$$

in order to verify (2.2).

Counting the various terms involved in the moments becomes very complicated, and to avoid excessive notational troubles, we shall study in detail only the case k=2.

We shall show that

Theorem 3.1 Let  $t_2(X_i, X_j)$  be defined by (3.2), and g(x) the density of the Y's be bounded on [0, 1], then

(3.8) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n} \left[ \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \Sigma(t_{2}(X_{1}, X_{j}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} W_{i}^{2}) \right\}^{p} | Y \right] = \begin{cases} 0 & p=1,3,5... \\ [(p-1)(p-3)...3.1]e^{p/2} & p=2,4... \end{cases}$$

with probability one, where  $\Sigma$  extends over all pairs (i < j). The constant c is given by

$$c = r^{2} \left[ \int_{0}^{1} g^{-1}(x) dx + 6r \int_{0}^{1} g^{-2}(x) dx - 4r \left( \int_{0}^{1} g^{-1}(x) dx \right)^{2} \right].$$

#### Proof:

Our methods of counting in the proof of (3.8) are based on those

used by Daniels [3], Hoeffding [7], and Okamoto [10], chiefly the last.

La

(3.9) 
$$\psi_2(\mathbf{x_i}, \mathbf{x_j}) = \varepsilon_2(\mathbf{x_i}, \mathbf{x_j}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathbf{w_i^2}$$

We are studying

(3.10) 
$$\mu_p = E_n[n^{-p/2}(\Sigma \psi_2(X_1, X_1))^p|Y]$$

which can be written as

(3.11) 
$$\mu_{\mathbf{p}} = n^{-\mathbf{p}/2} \sum E_{\mathbf{n}} [\psi_{2}(X_{\mathbf{i}_{1}}, X_{\mathbf{j}_{1}}) \dots \psi_{2}(X_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}}}, X_{\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{p}}}) | Y]$$

where summation is extended over all sets of pairs  $(i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_p, j_p)$ ,

 $1 \le i_k \le j_k \le m$ , k=1,...,p. Following Okamoto, let d denote the number of different integers among

$$(3.12)$$
  $i_1, j_1, ...; i_p, j_p.$ 

We now divide the p pairs of subscripts into e classes. Pairs of subscripts in the same class will be "linked" in the sense used by Daniels [3], and pairs not in the same class will not be "linked". The classes of "linked" pairs are equivalence classes and the members can be found as follows. The pairs  $(i_k, j_k)$  and  $(i_\ell, j_\ell)$  are said to be linked neighbors if one or more of the equations  $i_k = i_\ell$ ;  $i_k = j_\ell$ ,  $j_k = i_\ell$ ,  $j_k = k_\ell$  are satisfied. The pairs  $(i_k, j_k)$  and  $(i_\ell, j_\ell)$  are linked if either they are linked neighbors or there is a pair  $(i_\alpha, j_\alpha)$  so that either  $(i_k, j_k)$  and  $(i_\alpha, j_\alpha)$  are linked neighbors and  $(i_\alpha, j_\alpha)$  and  $(i_\ell, j_\ell)$  are linked or  $(i_\ell, j_\ell)$  and  $(i_\alpha, j_\alpha)$  are linked neighbors and  $(i_\alpha, j_\alpha)$  and  $(i_k, j_k)$  are linked. This inductive definition uniquely determines the sub-classification of the p pairs of subscripts.

We then write

(3.13) 
$$\mu_{\mathbf{p}} = \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{p} & 2\mathbf{p} \\ \Sigma & \Sigma & \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{ed}} \end{array},$$

where

$$(3.14) \quad A_{ed} = n^{-p/2} \sum_{(e,d)} E_{n} [\psi_{2}(X_{i_{1}},X_{j_{1}}) \dots \psi_{2}(X_{i_{p}},X_{j_{p}})|Y].$$

 $\Sigma^{(a,d)}$  standing for summation over all sets of pairs  $(i_1,j_1),\ldots,(i_p,j_p)$  such that the number of different integers is d and the number of equivalence classes is e. We shall now investigate

(3.15) 
$$\mathbf{E}_{n}[\psi_{2}(\mathbf{X}_{i_{1}}, \mathbf{X}_{j_{1}}) \dots \psi_{2}(\mathbf{X}_{i_{p}}, \mathbf{X}_{j_{p}})|Y]$$

in A ed. Let e equivalence classes consist of p ,..., p pairs. Obviously,

(3.16) 
$$p = p_1 + ... + p_n$$
.

To evaluate (3.15), we can assume without loss of generality that these classes are (we put the subscripts in parentheses after the i's and j's to simplify typing)

$$(3.16.1)$$
  $i(1), j(1); ...; i(p_1), j(p_1),$ 

$$(3.16.2)$$
  $i(p_1+1), j(p_1+1); ...; i(p_1+p_2), j(p_1+p_2) ...$ 

(3.16.e) 
$$i(p_1+p_2+...+p_{e-1}+1), j(p_1+p_2+...+p_{e-1}+1); ...; i(p), j(p).$$

By independence of the X's, we have  $E_n(\cdot 1Y)$  in (3.15) distributed to e classes, and (3.15) becomes the product of e expectations

$$(3.17.1) \quad \mathbb{E}_{n}[\psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}(1)},\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}(1)}) \dots \psi_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}(p_{1})},\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}(p_{1})})|\mathbf{x}],$$

$$(3.17.2) \quad \mathbb{E}_{n}[\psi_{2}(X_{\mathbf{i}(p_{1}+1)},X_{\mathbf{j}(p_{1}+1)}) \cdots \psi_{2}(X_{\mathbf{i}(p_{1}+p_{2})},X_{\mathbf{j}(p_{1}+p_{2})})|Y] \cdots$$

(3.17.e) 
$$\mathbb{E}_{n}[\psi_{2}(X_{\mathbf{1}(p-p_{n}+1)},X_{\mathbf{j}(p-p_{n}+1)}) \dots \psi(X_{\mathbf{1}(p)},X_{\mathbf{j}(p)})|Y].$$

Denoting by  $d_g$  the number of different integers in the class (3.16.g) g=1,2,...,e  $(d_g \le p_g)$  we have

(3.18) 
$$d = d_1 + d_2 + ... + d_n$$

The conditional probability  $P_n(\cdot|Y)$  that  $\alpha$  X's fall in the same interval is

n+1  $\Sigma$   $W_{i}^{\alpha}$ , so that expanding the product in (3.17.g), using the definition (3.9), i=1

we have that the expectation (3.17.g) is of the form

$$(3.19) \quad {n+1 \atop i=1} \stackrel{d}{u_i}^g) + a_{01} {\sum \atop i=1} {\sum \atop i=1} {w_i^g}) {\sum \atop i=1} {w_i^2}) + a_{11} {\sum \atop i=1} {w_i^g}^{-1} {\sum \atop i=1} {w_i^2}) {\sum \atop i=1} {w_i^2}) + a_{11} {\sum \atop i=1} {w_i^g}^{-1} {\sum \atop i=1} {w_i^g}) {\sum \atop i=1} {w_i^g}^{-1} {\sum \atop i=1} {w_i^$$

Clearly, the coefficients of the above polynomial, the  $a_{ij}$ 's depend on the  $d_g$  and  $p_g$ , and not on n. We need not evaluate them specifically. At this point, we call upon a result due to Weiss [13].

Theorem 3.2 (Weiss) For each  $t \ge 0$ , let  $R_n(t)$  be the proportion among  $W_1, \ldots, W_{n+1}$  which do not exceed t/n, and let

(3.20) 
$$R(t) = 1 - \int_{0}^{1} e^{-tg(x)}g(x)dx$$
.

Then if g(x) is bounded on [0,1],

(3.21) 
$$P\{\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{t\geq 0} |R_n(t) - R(t)| = 0\} = 1.$$

Thus, we have

(3.22) 
$$n^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} W_i^{\alpha} = (1 + \frac{1}{n}) \int_0^n t^{\alpha} dR_n(t)$$

and

ĩ

$$(3.23) \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} W_i^{\alpha} = \int_0^{\infty} t^{\alpha} \int_0^1 e^{-tg(x)} g^2(x) dx dt = \Gamma(\alpha+1) \int_0^1 g^{1-\alpha}(x) dx$$

with probability one.

Using this last result in (3.19), we see that with probability one, (3.19)  $\begin{array}{c} -(d-1) \\ -(d-1) \end{array}$ is of order O(n-1). Thus, (3.17.g) is of the order in O(n-1) w.p.1.

By (3.18), we have the order in O(n-1) is w.p.1.

$$\sum_{g=1}^{e} - (d_g-1) = e-d$$

Since  $\Sigma^{(e,d)}$  in (3.14) contains  $O(m^d)$  terms of this magnitude, we have w.p.1, (3.24)  $A_{ad} = n^{-p/2} O(m^d) O(n^{e-d}) = O(n^{e-p/2})$ .

If e > p/2, then from (3.16) there is at least one g such that  $p_g = 1$  and (3.17.g) vanishes because of (3.9) and (3.4), whence (3.15) also vanishes so that  $A_{ad} = 0$ . We have proved so far that

(3.25) 
$$A_{ed} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } e > p/2 \\ o(n^{e-p/2}) & \text{w.p.1} \end{cases}$$
 if  $e \le p/2$ 

From (3.13) and (3.25) it follows that  $\mu_p = o(1)$  w.p.l for odd p.

In the case when p is even, we need only consider  $A_{ed}$  for e=p/2 because of (3.25), i.e.,

(3.26) 
$$\mu_p \sim \sum_{d=2}^{2p} A_{p/2,d} = A (say) (w.p.1).$$

The reasoning which led to (3.25) shows that positive contributions to A are made only when each  $p_g=2$ ,  $g=1,\ldots,p/2$ . This means that each  $d_g$   $(g=1,\ldots,p/2)$  has to be either 2 or 3. If  $d_g=2$ , then by (3.23), n times (3.17.g) converges with probability one to

(3.27) 
$$I(g) = 2 \int_{0}^{1} g^{-1}(x) dx$$

If  $d_g=3$ , we have  $n^2$  times (3.17.g) converging with probability one to

(3.28) II(g) = 
$$6 \int_{0}^{1} g^{-2}(x) dx - 4(\int_{0}^{1} g^{-1}(x) dx)^{2}$$
.

If, q of the numbers  $d_1, \dots, d_{p/2}$  are 2 and p/2-q are 3, then using (3.27) and (3.28), we have

(3.29) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{p-q} \mathbb{E}_{n} [\psi_{2}(X_{i_{1}}, X_{j_{1}}) \dots \psi_{2}(X_{i_{p}}, X_{j_{p}}) | Y] = (I(g))^{q} (II(g))^{p/2-q}$$
 with probability one.

Combining (3.29) with (3.26), (3.14) and the remarks preceding (3.27), we have

(3.30) 
$$A = n^{-3p/2} \sum_{q=0}^{p/2} n^q (I(g))^q (II(g))^{p/2-q} \varphi(q) \psi(a, q)$$

with probability one, where  $\phi(q)$  is the number of ways of classifying p pairs  $(i_1,j_1),\ldots,(i_p,j_p)$  into p/2 sets, q of which have 2 different subscripts, and p/2-q have 3 different subscripts. Clearly,

(3.31) 
$$\varphi(q) = {p/2 \choose q} (p-1)(p-3) \dots 3.1 = {p/2 \choose q} \frac{p!}{2^{p/2} (p/2)!}$$

By  $\psi(n, q)$ , we represent the number of ways of choosing  $i_1, j_1; i_2, j_2; \dots; i_p, j_p$ ,  $1 \le i_g < j_g \le m$  so that  $i_g = i_{g+1}; j_g = j_{g+1}, g=1,3,5,9,\dots,2q-1;$  and so that one

of the equalities  $\begin{cases} i_g = i_{g+1} & j_g = i_{g+1} \\ i_g = j_{g+1} & j_g = j_{g+1} \end{cases}$  is satisfied for g=2q+1, 2q+3,...,p-1.

We can see that  $\psi(n, q)$  is given by

(3.32) 
$$\psi(n, q) = {m \choose 2} {m-2 \choose 2} \dots {m-2q+2 \choose 2} {m-2q-2 \choose 2} 2(m-2q-2) {m-2q-3 \choose 2} 2(m-2q-5) \dots$$

$$(m+q-3p/2+1)$$

$$= \frac{q-1}{m} {m-2j \choose 2} \frac{(3p/3)-3q-1}{m} (m-2q-j) = (2^{-q}) \frac{(3p/3)-q-1}{m} (m-j) = (2^{-q}) \frac{(3p/3)-q-1}{m} (1-\frac{j}{m})$$

Using (3.31) and (3.32) in (3.30), we have

(3.33) 
$$A = \frac{p!}{2^{p/2}(p/2)!} r^{3p/2} \sum_{q=0}^{p/2} (\frac{1}{2r})^q (\frac{p/2}{q}) (I(g))^q (II(g))^{p/2-q} + o(1)$$

with probability one (recalling that m=rn). Clearly, (3.33) reduces to the simple expression

(3.34) 
$$\frac{p!}{2^{p/2}(p/2)!} r^{p} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} g^{-1}(x) dx + 6r \int_{0}^{1} g^{-2}(x) dx - 4r \left( \int_{0}^{1} g^{-1}(x) dx \right)^{2} \right\}^{p/2}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

#### 4. Asymptotic Distribution of V2 Statistic.

We can combine the results (3.5), (3.6), and Theorem 3.1 to infer the following

Theorem 4.1 Under the assumptions of Theorems 3.1 and 7.1, the distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\binom{s_i}{2} - r^2 \int_0^1 g^{-1}(x)dx)$   $(4.1) \frac{1}{r[\int_0^1 g^{-1}(x)dx + 6r \int_0^1 g^{-2}(x)dx + 2r^2 \int_0^1 g^{-3}(x)dx - r(r+4)(\int_0^1 g^{-1}(x)dx)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ 

approaches the standard normal distribution as n increases.

Since  $V^2 = r + 2(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} {S_i \choose 2})$ , we can compute the power of tests based on

 $V^2$  using the theorem above. A test of the hypothesis that G(x) = F(x) (the uniform distribution) (0  $\leq x \leq 1$ ) based on  $V^2$  would reject this hypothesis whenever  $V^2$  exceeds  $C_n(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is the desired level of significance. We shall use the following standard notation:

(4.2) 
$$\Phi(v) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) \int_{v}^{\infty} e^{-(t^{2}/2)} dt$$

and

 $K(\alpha)$  is the number such that  $\Phi(K(\alpha)) = \alpha$ 

Then the above theorem shows that for large n,  $C_n(\alpha)$  is approximately equal to

(4.3) 
$$\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\left[\sqrt{n}(1+2r) + 2(r+1)K(\alpha)\right].$$

#### 5. Limiting Conditional Normality of the Run Statistic.

In this section, we consider the limiting conditional distribution of

(5.1) 
$$H_0(S_1,...,S_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{n+1}$$
 (the number of  $S_1,...,S_{n+1}$  which equal zero)

We shall abbreviate  $H_0(S_1,...,S_{n-1})$  by  $H_0$ . Denote the number of runs of X's

and Y's in the combined ordered sample by  $U_n$ . It is easily seen that the number of runs of X's is the same as the number of cells containing at least one X, which is  $(n+1)(1-H_0)$ , and from the definition of  $U_n$ , we see that  $U_n$  differs from twice the number of runs of X's by at most one. Formally, we have

(5.2) 
$$|(U_n/n) - ((n+1)/n)(1-H_0)| \le \frac{1}{n}$$

From (5.2), we see that if H is asymptotically normal with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , U /n will be asymptotically normal with mean 2(1- $\mu$ ) and variance  $4\sigma^2$ . We shall now examine the distribution of H.

Since we have

(5.3) 
$$P(s_i=0|Y_1,...,Y_n) = (1-W_i)^m$$

where  $W_i$  is the length of the  $i\frac{th}{t}$  spacing, it follows that

(5.4) 
$$E_n(H_0|Y) = (1/(n+1)) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (1-W_i)^m$$
.

It is easy to show that asymptotically  $(1/(n+1))\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (1-W_i)^m$  has the same interest of the same distribution as  $(1/(n+1))\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} -nrW_i$ . The asymptotic normality of the latter interest in the same interest of the same interest. The asymptotic normality of the latter interest int

From Theorem 2.1 of Section 2, it follows that we need only consider the limiting behavior of the conditional moments of  $[\overline{n}(H_o - R_n(H_o|Y))]$ . Using the computational scheme which Kitabatake [8] employed to solve the one-sample analogue of this problem, we shall prove the following

Theorem 5.1 Let  $H_0$  be defined by (5.1) and let g(x) be bounded on [0,1], then

(5.5) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n} \{ n^{\ell/2} (\mathbb{H}_{o} - \mathbb{E}_{n} (\mathbb{H}_{o} | Y))^{\ell} | Y \} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell = 1, 3, 5, \dots \\ (\ell-1)(\ell-3) \dots \mathbb{I}^{\ell/2} & \text{if } \ell = 2, 4, 6, \dots \end{cases}$$

with probability one. The constant C is given by

(5.6) 
$$C = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{g^{2}(x)}{r+g(x)} dx - \int_{0}^{1} \frac{g^{2}(x)}{2r+g(x)} dx - r(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{g^{2}(x)}{(r+g(x))^{2}} dx)^{2}$$

#### Proof:

Let  $J_0 = (n+1)H_0$ . Let  $V_1 = 1$  if  $S_1 = 0$ , and 0 otherwise. Then,

(5.7) 
$$J_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} V_i$$
,

and

(5.8) 
$$E_n(V_i|Y) = (1-W_i)^m$$
.

Also,

(5.9) 
$$E_n\{J_o^{(s)}|Y\} = \sum_{nP_s} (1-W_{i_1}-...-W_{i_s})^m$$

where

$$J_o^{(s)} = J_o(J_o-1) \dots (J_o-s+1)$$
 if  $s > 0$ 

$$J_o^{(0)} = 1$$

and  $\Sigma$  stands for summation over all permutations  $(i_1, \dots, i_g)$  of (n+1) integers  $nP_g$ 

such that  $1 \le i_j \le n+1$ ,  $i_j + i_k$  if j + k (j,k=1,2,...,n+1).

We note also that we can write (w.p.1)

$$(5.10) \quad (1-W_{i_1}-...-W_{i_s})^{m} = e^{\int_{j=1}^{s} (nW_{i_j})} [1-\frac{r}{2n} (\sum_{j=1}^{s} (nW_{i_j}))^2 + O(\frac{1}{n^2})].$$

By the binomial expansion, we obtain

(5.11) 
$$\mathbb{E}_{n}(n^{\ell+2/2} \left( \frac{J_{o}}{n+1} - \mathbb{E}_{n}(H_{o}|Y) \right)^{\ell+2}|Y) =$$

$$= n^{\ell+2/2} \, \mathbb{E}_{n} \{ \left[ \begin{array}{c} \frac{J_{o}}{n+1} - \mathbb{E}_{n}(H_{o}|Y) \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} \frac{J_{o}}{n+1} - \mathbb{E}_{n}(H_{o}|Y) \right]^{\ell+1} | Y \} \\ \\ = n^{\ell+2/2} \, \left[ \begin{array}{c} \ell+1 \\ \Sigma \\ j=0 \end{array} (-1)^{j} \, \binom{\ell+1}{j} \, \mathbb{E}_{n} \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{J_{o}}{n+1} \end{array} \right)^{\ell+2-j} | Y \} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{n}(H_{o}|Y) \right\}^{j} \\ \\ - \frac{\ell}{j=0} \, (-1)^{j} \, \binom{\ell+1}{j} \, \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{J_{o}}{n+1} \end{array} \right)^{\ell+1-j} | Y \} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{n}(H_{o}|Y) \right\}^{j+1} \, \right] \, .$$

We can express  $J_{o}^{k}$  in terms of factorial powers as

(5.12) 
$$J_0^k = \sum_{q=0}^k {k \choose q} B_q^{(k-q)} J_0^{(k-q)}$$

where  $B_r^{(n)}$  is the Stirling number of order n and degree r. From (5.9) and (5.12) we obtain that with probability one

$$(5.13) \quad \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{n}} \left( \left( \frac{\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{o}}}{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{1}} \right)^{\ell+2-\mathbf{j}} | \mathbf{Y} \right) = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{n}} \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{o}}^{(\ell+2-\mathbf{j})}}{(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{1})^{\ell+2-\mathbf{j}}} | \mathbf{Y} \right\} \\ + \frac{(\ell+2-\mathbf{j})(\ell+1-\mathbf{j})}{2} \quad \frac{1}{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{1}} \, \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{n}} \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{o}}^{(\ell+1-\mathbf{j})}}{(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{1})^{\ell+1-\mathbf{j}}} | \mathbf{Y} \right\} + \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{n}} \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{o}}^{(\ell-\mathbf{j})}}{(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{1})^{\ell-\mathbf{j}}} | \mathbf{Y} \right\} \, \mathbf{Q} \left( \frac{1}{\mathbf{n}^{2}} \right) \, .$$

To evaluate the terms on the right of (5.13), we use (5.9) and (5.10) much computation, and (5.13) again to obtain

(5.14) 
$$E_n \left\{ \frac{J_0^{(s)}}{(n+1)^s} \mid Y \right\} = \sum_{nP_n} \frac{\left(1-W_1, \dots, W_i\right)^m}{(n+1)^s}$$

$$= \sum_{nP_{a}} \frac{-r(\sum_{j=1}^{s} (nW_{i}))}{(n+1)^{s}} - \frac{r}{2(n+1)} \sum_{nP_{a}} (\sum_{j=1}^{s} (nW_{i}))^{2} \frac{-r(\sum_{j=1}^{s} (nW_{i}))}{(n+1)^{s}}$$

$$+ \sum_{nP_{s}} \frac{-r(\sum_{j=1}^{s} (nW_{ij}))}{(n+1)^{s}} \quad O(\frac{1}{n^{2}}) = \left\{ (\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{e^{-rnW_{i}}}{n+1})(\sum_{nP_{s-1}} \frac{e^{-j+1} (nW_{ij})}{(n+1)^{s-1}}) \right\}$$

$$-\frac{(s-1)}{n+1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} n+1 & \frac{-2rnW_{i}}{s} \\ \Sigma & \frac{e}{n+1} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} \Sigma & \frac{e}{s-2} & (nW_{i}) \\ \sum & \frac{e}{(n+1)^{s-2}} \end{array} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{(s-1)(s-2)}{(n+1)^2} \left( \sum_{nP_{s-2}} \frac{e^{-3rnW_{i_1} - r \sum_{j=2}^{s-2} (nW_{i_j})}}{(n+1)^{s-2}} \right)$$

$$+ \left\{ -\frac{sr}{2(n+1)} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{n+1}{\Sigma} \frac{(nW_{i})^{2}e}{n+1} \\ i=1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \Sigma \\ \frac{nP_{s-1}}{n+1} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \Sigma \\ \frac{e}{j=1} \frac{j=1}{j} \end{array} \right) \right) \\ + \frac{rs(s-1)}{2(n+1)^{2}} \left( \begin{array}{c} \Sigma \\ \frac{(nW_{i})^{2}e}{nP_{s-1}} \end{array} \right) \frac{(nW_{i})^{2}e}{(n+1)^{s-1}} \right)$$

$$-\frac{rs(s-1)}{2(n+1)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{(nW_i)}{n+1} \right)^2 \left( \sum_{nP_{s-2}} \frac{-r \sum_{j=1}^{s-2} (nW_i)}{(n+1)^{s-2}} \right)$$

$$+\frac{rs(s-1)}{2(n+1)^{2}} \left( \begin{array}{c} n+1 \\ \Sigma \\ i=1 \end{array} \frac{(nW_{i})^{2} e}{n+1} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \Sigma \\ nP_{s-2} \end{array} \frac{e^{-2} (nW_{i})}{(n+1)^{s-2}} \right)$$

$$+\frac{rs(s-1)(s-2)}{2(n+1)^{3}} \left(\sum_{nP_{s-2}} \frac{\binom{nW_{i_{1}}}{2}e^{-3rnW_{i_{1}}} - r\sum_{j=2}^{s-2} \binom{nW_{i_{j}}}{j}}{\binom{n+1}^{s-2}}\right)$$

$$+ \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{n+1}{\Sigma} \frac{e^{-rnW_{i}}}{n+1} \right)^{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} \Sigma \\ nP_{s-2} \end{array} \frac{e^{-r \sum_{j=1}^{s-2} (nW_{i})}}{(n+1)^{s-2}} \right) \quad O(\frac{1}{n^{2}})$$

$$-\frac{(s-1)(s-2)}{2(n+1)} \mathbb{E}_{n} \left\{ \frac{J_{0}^{s-2}}{(n+1)^{s-2}} \mid Y \right\} - \frac{(s-1)}{n+1} \left[ r \left( -\frac{\Sigma}{s-1} \frac{(nW_{1}) e^{-rnW_{1}}}{n+1} \right)^{2} \right]$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{e^{-2\pi n N_i}}{n+1} \} E_n \{\frac{J_o^{s-2}}{(n+1)^{s-2}} | Y\} + O(\frac{1}{n^2}) E_n \{\frac{J_o^{s-2}}{(n+1)^{s-2}} | Y\}$$

with probability one.

Using (5.14) on the right side of (5.13) with the appropriate values of and lumping together terms of order  $(\frac{1}{n^2})$ , we obtain

(5.15) 
$$E_n((\frac{J_0}{n+1})^{\frac{1}{n+2}-j}|Y| = [\frac{n+1}{\Sigma} \frac{e^{-rnW_1}}{n+1}]$$

$$-\frac{r}{2(n+1)}\left(\begin{array}{cc} n+1 & \frac{(nW_1)^2 e^{-rnW_1}}{n+1} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} & \frac{n+1}{n+1} \end{array}\right)\right] E_n\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} J_0 \\ n+1 \end{array}\right)^{\ell+1-j} |Y| + \frac{(\ell+1-j)}{n+1} \left[\begin{array}{cc} n+1 & \frac{-rnW_1}{n+1} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} & \frac{e^{-rnW_1}}{n+1} \end{array}\right]$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{e^{-2\pi nW_i}}{n+1} - r(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{(nW_i) e^{-\pi nW_i}}{n+1})^2 + O(\frac{1}{n}) \mathbb{E}_n\{(\frac{J_o}{n+1})^{\ell-j} | Y\}$$

with probability one.

Putting the result (5.15) into the expansion (5.11) we obtain

(5.16) 
$$\mathbf{E}_{n} \{ n^{\frac{\ell+2}{2}} (\frac{J_{o}}{n+1} - \mathbf{E}_{n} (H_{o} | Y))^{\frac{\ell+2}{2}} | Y \}$$

$$= n^{\ell+2/2} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\ell+1} (-1)^{j} {\ell+1 \choose j} \mathbb{E}_{n} \left( \left( \frac{J_{o}}{n+1} \right)^{\ell+1-j} | Y \right) \left( \mathbb{E}_{n} (H_{o} | Y) \right)^{j} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{e^{-\pi i M} i}{n+1} \right)^{\ell+1-j} \left( \mathbb{E}_{n} (H_{o} | Y) \right)^{j} \left( \mathbb{E}_{$$

$$-\frac{r}{2(n+1)}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\frac{(nW_i)^2}{n+1}-E_n(H_o|Y)$$

$$+\frac{(\ell+1)}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{\ell}(-1)^{j}\binom{\ell}{j}\mathbb{E}_{n}((\frac{J_{o}}{n+1})^{\ell-j}|Y)\{\mathbb{E}_{n}(\mathbb{H}_{o}|Y)\}^{j}(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\frac{-rnW_{i}}{n+1}-\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\frac{-2rnW_{i}}{n+1})$$

$$-r(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{(nW_i) e^{-rnW_i}}{n+1})^2 + O(\frac{1}{n})]) = \sqrt{n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{e^{-rnW_i}}{n+1}\right]$$

$$-\frac{r}{2(n+1)}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{(nW_{i})^{2}e^{-rnW_{i}}}{n+1}-E_{n}(H_{o}|Y)]E_{n}(n^{\ell+1/2}(\frac{J_{o}}{n+1}-E_{n}(H_{o}|Y))^{\ell+1}|Y)$$

+ 
$$(\ell+1) \, \mathbb{E}_{n} \{ n^{\ell/2} (\frac{J_{o}}{n+1} - \mathbb{E}_{n} (H_{o}|Y))^{\ell} | Y \} \{ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{e^{-rnW_{i}}}{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{e^{-2rnW_{i}}}{n+1} \}$$

$$-.r(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{(nW_i) e^{-rnW_i}}{n+1})^2 + O(\frac{1}{n}) = O(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}) E_n(n^{\ell+1/2}(\frac{J_o}{n+1} - E_n(H_o|Y))^{\ell+1}|Y)$$

+ 
$$(\ell+1) E_n(n^{\ell/2}(\frac{J_0}{n+1} - E_n(H_0|Y))^{\ell}|Y)[\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{e^{-rnW_i}}{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{e^{-2rnW_i}}{n+1}]$$

$$-r(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{(nW_i) e^{-rnW_i}}{n+1})^2 + O(\frac{1}{n})$$

with probability one.

By an inductive argument, we obtain from (5.16) that w.p.1

$$(5.17) \quad \mathbb{E}_{n} \left( n^{\ell} \left( \mathbb{H}_{o} - \mathbb{E}_{n} \left( \mathbb{H}_{o} \middle| Y \right) \right)^{2\ell} \middle| Y \right) = (2\ell - 1)(2\ell - 3) \dots 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 \quad \left[ \begin{array}{c} n + 1 \\ \Sigma \\ i = 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{r} n W} \mathbf{i}}$$

$$-\frac{n+1}{\Sigma} = \frac{e^{-2\pi nW_{i}}}{n+1} - r(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{(nW_{i}) e^{-\pi nW_{i}}}{n+1})^{2}]^{\ell} + o(\frac{1}{n})$$

and

$$E_n(n^{\ell+\frac{1}{2}}(H_o-E_n(H_o|Y))^{2\ell+1}|Y) = O(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}})$$

Using the Weiss convergence result, Theorem 3.2, we have

$$(5.18) \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{e^{-rnW_i}}{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{e^{-2rnW_i}}{n+1} - r\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{(nW_i) e^{-rnW_i}}{n+1} \right)^2 \right]$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{g^{2}(x)}{r+g(x)} dx - \int_{0}^{1} \frac{g^{2}(x)}{2r+g(x)} dx - r(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{g^{2}(x)}{(r+g(x))^{2}} dx)^{2}$$

with probability one.

Taking limits in (5.17) and using (5.18) yields the desired result (5.5). Thus Theorem 5.1 is proved.

#### 6. Asymptotic Distribution of the Run Statistic.

Now we can put together the remark following (5.2) with the results of Theorem 2.1, Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 7.1, to obtain explicitly the limiting distribution of  $U_n$ , the number of runs in the combined sample of X's and Y's.

Theorem 6.1 Under the assumptions of Theorems 5.1 and 7.1, the distribution of

$$\frac{\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} U_{n} - 2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{rg(x)}{r+g(x)} dx\right)}{2\left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{rg(x)}{r+g(x)} dx - 2r^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{g^{2}(x)}{(r+g(x))^{3}} dx - r\left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{g^{2}(x)}{(r+g(x))^{2}} dx\right)^{2} - r^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{g(x)}{(r+g(x))^{2}} dx\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

approaches the standard normal as n increases.

This is the same as the expression derived by Wolfowitz [16] who used a somewhat controversial method of proof.

Using the theorem, we can set up a test of the hypothesis that G(x) = F(x) (the uniform distribution) based on  $U_n$  and having size of approximately  $\alpha$  for large n. Letting  $\Phi(v)$  and  $K(\alpha)$  be defined by (4.2), the test based on  $U_n$  will reject the hypothesis of equality whenever  $U_n/n$  is less than

(6.2) 
$$(2r/(1+r))[1 - (K(\alpha)\sqrt{1+r}/(1+r)\sqrt{n})].$$

#### 7. Distributions of Functions of Sample Spacings.

In the proofs of normality in Sections 3 and 5 and in the computations of power in Sections 4 and 6, we used the asymptotic normality of certain functions of sample spacings. In particular, we used the

Theorem 7.1 If g(x) is bounded from above and below away from 0 on [0,1], then as n increases, the marginal distributions of

$$(7.1) \frac{\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (nW_i)^2 - 2 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{g(x)} dx\right)}{2[2 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{g^3(x)} dx - (\int_0^1 \frac{1}{g(x)} dx)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

and

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (1-W_i)^m - \int_0^1 \frac{g^2(x)}{r+g(x)} dx\right) \\
= \left[\int_0^1 \frac{g^2(x)}{2r+g(x)} dx + \int_0^1 \frac{rg(x)}{r+g(x)} dx - \int_0^1 \frac{g^2(x)}{r+g(x)} dx - 2r^2 \int_0^1 \frac{g^2(x)}{(r+g(x))^3} - r^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{g(x)}{(r+g(x))^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

each approach the standard normal distribution.

In [14], Weiss announced the result (3.6) which specializes to (7.1). The derivation given in [14] holds strictly only for g(x) a step function. When g(x) is continuous and has a continuous derivative, the results announced by Proschan and Pyke [11], imply (7.1). The result (7.1) can be derived using the method employed below to derive (7.2).

The result (7.2) also would follow from the results announced in [11]. The method used there is based on a series expansion of the functions involved (Pyke-personal communication). We shall illustrate the method by deriving (7.2) when g(x) has a bounded second derivative, and g(x) is bounded away from zero.

To obtain (7.2), we observe first that  $(\sqrt{n}/n)\Sigma(1-W_1)^{nr}$  has the same distribution as  $(\sqrt{n}/n)\Sigma e^{-nrW_1}$ . Denote  $G(Z_1) - G(Z_{i-1})$  by  $U_1$  (i=1,...,n+1). Note that

(7.3) 
$$G(Z_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{i} U_j$$
  $i=1,...,n+1$ .

 $U_1, \ldots, U_{n+1}$  are distributed as sample spacings based on n observations from a uniform distribution. Also, if  $V_1, \ldots, V_{n+1}$  are exponentially distributed  $(f(v) = e^{-v})$ , and if  $T_n = V_1 + \ldots + V_{n+1}$ , then  $U_1, \ldots, U_{n+1}$  and  $\frac{V_1}{T_n}, \ldots, \frac{V_{n+1}}{T_n}$  have the same joint distribution.

By the mean value theorem,

$$(7.4) \quad U_{i} = g(\hat{Z}_{i})W_{i} = gG^{-1}(\frac{1}{n})W_{i} + (g(\hat{Z}_{i}) - gG^{-1}(\frac{1}{n}))W_{i} \quad (Z_{i-1} < \hat{Z}_{i} < Z_{i}).$$

Thus,

$$W_{\underline{i}} = U_{\underline{i}}/gG^{-1}(\frac{1}{n}) + W_{\underline{i}}(gG^{-1}(\frac{1}{n}) - g(\hat{z}_{\underline{i}}))/gG^{-1}(\frac{1}{n})$$

$$(7.5) = U_{\underline{i}}/gG^{-1}(\frac{1}{n}) + U_{\underline{i}}(gG^{-1}(\frac{1}{n}) - g(\hat{z}_{\underline{i}}))/g^{2}G^{-1}(\frac{1}{n})$$

$$+ W_{\underline{i}}^{2}(gG^{-1}(\frac{1}{n}) - g(\hat{z}_{\underline{i}}))^{2}/g^{2}G^{-1}(\frac{1}{n}).$$

Also,

$$(7.6) \quad \mathbf{g}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{\underline{i}}) - \mathbf{g}G^{-1}(\frac{\underline{i}}{n}) = (G(\mathbf{z}_{\underline{i}}) - \frac{\underline{i}}{n}) \frac{d}{dx} \mathbf{g}G^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \Big|_{\mathbf{x} = \frac{\underline{i}}{n}}$$

$$- (G(\mathbf{z}_{\underline{i}}) - G(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{\underline{i}})) \frac{d}{dx} \mathbf{g}G^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \Big|_{\mathbf{x} = \frac{\underline{i}}{n}}$$

$$+ (G(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{\underline{i}}) - \frac{\underline{i}}{n})^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \mathbf{g}G^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \Big|_{\mathbf{x} = \theta} \qquad \qquad \frac{\underline{i}}{n} < \theta < G(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{\underline{i}}).$$

Note that

$$(7.7) \quad \frac{d}{dx} g(G^{-1}(x)) = \left(\frac{d}{du} g(u) \Big|_{u=G^{-1}(x)}\right) \quad \frac{1}{g(G^{-1}(x))} .$$

We shall denote  $gG^{-1}(\frac{1}{n})$  by  $g_i$  and  $\frac{d}{du}g(u)\Big|_{u=G^{-1}(x)}$  by  $g_i'$ .

Now we shall expand  $(\sqrt{n}/n)\Sigma e^{-rnW_{1}}$  around  $(\sqrt{n}/n)\Sigma e^{-rnU_{1}/g_{1}}$  in a Taylor series with remainder term based on the second derivative, using (7.5), (7.6) and (7.7):

(7.8) 
$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \sum_{e}^{-rnW_{1}} = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \sum_{e}^{-rnU_{1}/g_{1}} + r \frac{g_{1}'}{g_{1}'} (G(Z_{1}) - \frac{1}{n})(nU_{1})e^{-rnU_{1}/g_{1}})$$

$$+\frac{r\sqrt{n}}{n}\sum_{(nU_{1})e^{-rnU_{1}/g_{1}}}\left[\frac{g_{1}'}{g_{1}^{3}}\left(G(\hat{z}_{1})-G(z_{1})\right)+\frac{\frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}}gG^{-1}(x)\Big|_{x=\theta}}{g_{1}^{2}}\left(G(\hat{z}_{1})-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$- r \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \sum \frac{(g_{\underline{i}} - g(\hat{z}_{\underline{i}}))^2}{g_{\underline{i}}^2} (nW_{\underline{i}})^2 \left[ \frac{e^{-rnU_{\underline{i}}/g_{\underline{i}}}}{n} - r e^{-rnU_{\underline{i}}/gG^{-1}(\theta)} \right] \quad (\frac{\underline{i}}{n} < \theta < G(\hat{z}_{\underline{i}})).$$

We now observe that of the three summations on the right side of (7.8), the latter two converge stochastically to zero. This observation follows from the assumptions about g(x) and its derivatives, the fact that  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (nU_i)^k e^{-rnU_i}$  is uniformly bounded for all n, the fact that  $G(Z_i) - G(\widehat{Z}_i) < U_i$ , and the

Glivenko-Cantelli Lemma which says that  $n^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}$  sup  $(G(Z_{\frac{1}{2}})-\frac{1}{n})$  converges stochastically to zero. (In the terms in question,  $(G(Z_{\frac{1}{2}})-\frac{1}{n})$  appears as a square.) Using the Slutsky Proposition (Cramer [2], p. 255), we can say that  $(\sqrt[n]{n})\Sigma e^{-rnW_{\frac{1}{2}}}$  has the same limiting distribution as

(7.9) 
$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-rnU_{i}/g_{i}} [1 + r(g_{i}^{1}/g_{i}^{3}) (G(Z_{i}) - \frac{i}{n}) (nU_{i})].$$

Using the remark following (7.3), we see that (7.9) has the same limiting distribution as

(7.10) 
$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \Sigma e^{-rnV_1/T_n g_1} [1+r(\frac{g_1'}{g_1'}) (G(Z_1)-\frac{1}{n}) (nV_1/T_n)]$$

where the  $V_i$  are independent exponential random variables (i=1,...,n+1), and  $T_n = \Sigma V_i$ . We now examine separately each of the terms in (7.10). Expanding the first term around  $(\sqrt{n}/n)\Sigma e^{-rnV_i/g_i}$  we obtain

$$(7.11) \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \sum_{i} e^{-rnV_{i}/T_{n}g_{i}} = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \sum_{i} e^{-rV_{i}/g_{i}} \left[1 + (r/g_{i}) V_{i}(1 - \frac{n}{T_{n}})\right] + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \sum_{i} (rV_{i}/g_{i})^{2} (1 - \frac{n}{T_{n}})^{2} e^{-r\overline{V}_{i}/g_{i}}$$

where  $V_1/T_n < \overline{V}_1 < V_1$ . Noting that  $n^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}(1-\frac{n}{T_n})$  approaches zero stochastically, that  $T_n/n$  approaches unity stochastically, that

(7.12) 
$$1-\frac{n}{T_n} = \left[\frac{1}{n} \Sigma (v_i-1)\right]/T_n/n$$

and the boundedness of xe xe again, and the fact that

(7.13) 
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{g_1} v_i e^{-rV_1/g_i} \longrightarrow \int_0^1 \frac{rg^2(x)}{(r+g(x))^2} dx$$

as n increases, we can again use the Slutsky Proposition to conclude that  $(\sqrt{n}/n)\Sigma \ e^{-rnV_{\frac{1}{2}}/T_{n}g_{\frac{1}{2}}} \ has \ the \ same \ distribution \ as$ 

(7.14) 
$$(\sqrt{n}/n) \Sigma (e^{-rV_{1}/g_{1}} + (V_{1}-1) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{rg^{2}(x)}{(r+g(x))^{2}}).$$

The second term in (7.10) is a little more complicated, but using (7.3) to express  $(G(Z_1)-\frac{1}{n})$  as a function of  $V_1,\ldots,V_{n+1}$ , and (7.12), and the Glivenko-Cantelli Lemma and the fact that  $n^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}$   $(1-\frac{n}{T_n})$  approaches zero stochastically, along with the Slutsky Theorem, we conclude that the limiting distribution of  $(\sqrt{n}/n) \sum r(g_1^*/g_1^3)(G(Z_1)-\frac{1}{n})(nV_1/T_n) e^{-rnV_1/T_n}g_1$  is the same as that of

(7.15) 
$$r(\sqrt{n}/n) \stackrel{n+1}{\underset{i=1}{\Sigma}} (g_i^{i}/g_i^{2}) V_i e^{-rV_i/g_i} (1/n) \stackrel{i}{\underset{j=1}{\Sigma}} (V_j-1)$$

$$- (v_{i}-1) (1/n) \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (j/n) (g_{j}'/g_{j}^{2}) v_{j} e^{-rv_{j}'/g_{j}}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{n} (g_j'/g_j^2) V_j e^{-rV_j/g_j} \xrightarrow{p} \int_0^1 \frac{G(x)g'(x)}{(r+g(x))^2} dx$$

(7.16) and 
$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (V_{i}-1) \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=j}^{n+1} (g_{i}^{i}/g_{i}^{2}) V_{i} e^{-rV_{i}/g_{i}} \right\}$$

$$-\int_{G^{-1}(j/n)}^{1} \frac{g'(x)}{(r+g(x))^2} dx \right\} \xrightarrow{p} 0$$

as n increases, by re-arranging the order of the first two summations in (7.15) and using Slutsky's Theorem, we find that (7.15) has the same limiting distri-

bution as

(7.17) 
$$r(\sqrt{n}/n) \Sigma (\dot{v}_i-1) \left[ \int_{g^{-1}(j/n)}^{1} \frac{g'(x)}{(r+g(x))^2} dx - \int_{0}^{1} \frac{G(x)g'(x)}{(r+g(x))^2} dx \right]$$

Integrating the expression in brackets in (7.17), we observe that (7.17) simplifies to

(7.18) 
$$r(\sqrt{n}/n) \Sigma (V_i-1) (\frac{1}{r+g_i} - \int_0^1 \frac{g(x)}{r+g(x)} dx).$$

Putting together (7.18) and (7.14), we conclude that  $(\sqrt{n}/n) \Sigma$  e

has the same limiting distribution as

(7.19) 
$$(\sqrt{n}/n) \, \Sigma \, e^{-rV_1/g_1} + r(V_1-1) \, (\frac{1}{r+g_1} - \int_0^1 \frac{rg(x)}{(r+g(x))^2}).$$

It now involves only a simple computation to verify the mean and variance given in (7.2), and limiting normality follows from the standard central limit theorem applied to (7.19) which is a sum of independent random variables.

#### 8. A Comparison of Limiting Power.

As an application of the results of Sections 4 and 6, we shall compute the limiting power of the  $V^2$  test and the run test against sequences of alternatives approaching the uniform distribution, and we shall obtain an expression for the relative efficiency of the two tests. We consider a sequence of densities  $g_n(x)$  given by

(8.1) 
$$g_n(x) = 1 + (c/n^{\frac{1}{4}}) h(x)$$

where c > 0 and we have

(8.2) 
$$\int_{0}^{1} h(x)dx = 0 ; |h(x)| < B < \infty ; ch(x) > -1.$$

We define  $K_{\alpha}$  and  $\Phi(v)$  as in (4.2).

We shall use the results of Noether (see Fraser [6], pp. 272-273) to compute limiting power and efficiency with respect to the sequence  $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})$ .

We start by considering g(x) given by

(8.3) 
$$g(x) = 1 + ch(x)$$

where (8.2) is satisfied.

Since the  $V^2$  statistic has the same behavior as  $V_n^* = (1/n)\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n+1} {S_i \choose 2}$ , we can use Theorem 4.1 to evaluate its limiting power. We find easily that the mean of  $V_n^*$  is

(8.4) 
$$r^2 \int_0^1 g^{-1}(x)dx = r^2(1+c^2 \int_0^1 h^2(x)(1+ch^2(x))^{-1}dx$$
).

Thus, m=2 in Noether's Theorem. Further, we see that if we denote the denominator of (4.1) by  $\sigma_c(V_n^*)$ , then

(8.5) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \left( \frac{d^2}{dc^2} \, E_c \, (V_n^*) \Big|_{c=0} \right) / n^{2V} \left( \sigma_o(V_n^*) / n^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] = \left[ 2r/(r+1) \right] \int_0^1 h^2(x) dx$$

when v=k.

It is easily verified that the remaining conditions of Noether's Theorem are satisfied and that the limiting power of the  $V^2$  test against the sequence (8.1) is

(8.6) 
$$\Phi(\mathbf{K}_{\alpha} - (c^2\mathbf{r}/(r+1)) \int_{0}^{1} h^2(x) dx$$
).

To find the limiting power of the  $U_n$  test, we observe that an equivalent test is the one which rejects the null hypothesis whenever  $U_n^* = [(2r/(r+1)) - U_n/n]$  is large. From (6.1) we see that the expected value of  $U_n^*$  under (8.3) is

(8.7) 
$$(2r^2/(r+1))\int_0^1 [(1-g(x))/(r+g(x))]dx$$
  
=  $[2r^2c^2/(1+r)^2]\int_0^1 h^2(x)(1+r+ch(x))^{-1}dx$ 

Again it is easily verified that m=2. Denoting the denominator of (6.1) by  $\sigma_{\rm c}(U_n^*)$ , we have

(8.8) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \left( \frac{d^2}{dc^2} \, \mathbb{E}_c \, (U_n^*) \Big|_{c=0} \right) / n^{2\nu} \left( \sigma_o(U_n^*) n^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] = \left( 2r/(r+1)^{3/2} \right) \int_0^1 h^2(x) dx$$

when v=k.

We can easily show that the remaining conditions of the theorem are true wo that the limiting power of the  $\mathbf{U}_n$  test is given by

(8.9) 
$$\Phi(K_{\alpha} - (c^2r/(r+1)^{3/2}) \int_0^1 h^2(x) dx$$
).

It is then easily verified that the efficiency of the run test relative to the  $V^2$  test is 1/(r+1). Thus as r, the ratio m/n, increases the relative efficiency of the run test decreases to zero.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Blum, J. R. and Weiss, L. (1957). Consistency of certain two-sample tests, Ann. Math. Statist. 28 277-280.
- [2] Cramer, H. (1950). Mathematical Methods of Statistics. Princeton Univ. Press.
- [3] Daniels, H. E. (1944). The relation between measures of correlation in the universe of sample permutations. Biometrika. 33 129-135.
- [4] David, F. N. (1950). Two combinatorial tests of whether a sample has come from a given population. Biometrika. 37 97-110.
- [5] Dixon, W. J. (1940). A criterion for testing the hypothesis that two samples are from the same population. Ann. Math. Statist. 11
- [6] Fraser, D. A. S. (1957). Nonparametric Methods in Statistics. Wiley, New York.
- [7] Hoeffding, W. (1951). A combinatorial central limit theorem. Ann.

  Math. Statist. 22 558-566.
- [8] Kitabatake, S. (1958). A remark on a non-parametric test. Math.

  Japon. 5 45-49.
- [9] Okamoto, M. (1952). On a nonparametric test. Osaka Math. Jour. 4
- [10] Okamoto, M. (1952). Some combinatorial tests of goodness of fit.

  Osaka Math. Jour. 4 215-228.
- [11] Proschan, F. and Pyke, R. (1962). General limit theorems for spacings.

  Ann. Math. Statist. 33 307.
- [12] Wald, A. and Wolfowitz, J. (1940). On a test whether two samples are from the same population. Ann. Math. Statist. 11 147-162.

#### REFERENCES

- [13] Weiss, L. (1955). The stochastic convergence of a function of sample successive differences. Ann. Math. Statist. 26 532-536.
- [14] Weiss, L. (1957). The asymptotic power of certain tests of fit based on sample spacings. Ann. Math. Statist. 28 783-786.
- [15] Wilks, S. S. (1960). A combinatorial test for the problem of two samples from continuous distributions. <a href="Proc. Fourth Berkeley-Symp. Math. Stat. Prob.">Proc. Fourth Berkeley Symp. Math. Stat. Prob.</a> Univ. of California Press.
- [16] Wolfowitz, J. (1949). Nonparametric statistical inference. Proc.

  Berkeley Symp. Math Stat. Prob. Univ. of California Press.

### STANFORD UNIVERSITY TECHNICAL REPORTS DISTRIBUTION LIST CONTRACT Non-229(52)

Armed Services Technical		Commanding Officer Frankford Arsonal		Decument Library U.S. Atomic Energy Commission 19th and Congitization Aves. N.W.	
Armed Services Technical Information Agency Adjuster Mail Station		Library Branch, 0270, Bldg. 40		19th and Constitution Aves. N.W. Washington 25, D. C.	1
William TS' Allame	10	Frankford Artsoni Library Branch, 0270, Bidg. 40 Bridge and Tasony Swoots Philadelphia 37, Pennsylvania	1	Handwarters	
Bureau of Supplies and Accounts Code OW Department of the Nary				Objehens Chy &tr Material Area	
Code OW		Commanding Officer Rock Island Arsenal Rock Island , Hitnets	1	United States Air Feres Tinker Air Feros Base,	_
Washington 25, D. C.	1		-	Oklahems	1
Head, Legistics and Mathematical Statistics Branch		Commanding General Redstane Arsonal (ORDOW-QC) Huntaville, Alabama	_	Institute of Statistics North Carolina State College of A & E Raiolgh, North Carolina	
Statistics Branch Office of Neval Research		Huntaville, Alabama	1	Raleigh, North Carolina	1
	3	Commending General			
· · · · ·		Commanding General White Sands Proving Ground (ORDBS-TS-TIB)	1	Jet Prepulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology	
Commanding Officer Office of Neval Research		Les Cruses, New Mexico	1	Attn: A.J. Steelek 4800 Oak Greve Drive Pasadons 3, California	1
	_	Commending General Attn: Paul C. Cox, Ord. Mission White Sands Proving Ground Las Cruses, New Martine		Pasadens 3, California	•
Navy No. 100, Fleet P. O. New York, N. Y.	2	White Sands Proving Ground	1	Librarian	
Commanding Officer		Las Cruces, New Mexico	•	The RAND Corporation 1700 Main Street Santa Menica, California	1
Commanding Officer Office of Navel Research Branch Office 1000 Geory Street San Francisco 9, California		Commending General Atta: Technical Decuments Conter Signal Corpe Engineering Laboratory Fort Monmouth, New Jorsey			•
1000 Geory Street	1	Signal Curps Engineering Laboratory	1	Library Division Navel Missile Center Command	
	•		•	U.S. Naval Missile Conter	
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Breach Office 10th Floor, The John Cress Library Bide. 86 East Randelph Street Chicago 1, Milnois		Commanding General Ordense Waspers Command Attn: Recently Branch Rock Island, Illinois		U.S. Naval Missile Center Atta: J. L. Nichel Point Mugu, California	1
Branch Office		Atta: Research Branch	1		
Library Bidg.		Rock Island, Hilnels	•	Mathematics Division Code 5077 U.S. Neval Ordeance Test Station	
So East Randeren Street Chicago 1, Hilmois	1	Commanding General		China Lake, California	1
Commending Officer		Commanding General U.S. Army Electronic Proving Ground Fort Hundhaza, Arizona Attn: Tocknical Library	1		
Commanding Officer Office of Stavel Research Branch Office		Atta: Tectorical Claray	•	Attn: Mr. E.B. Jackson, Office	
S46 Breadingy New York 13, N. Y.	1	Commercial White Air Development Contar		MASA Attn: Mr. E.B. Jackson, Office of Aere Intelligence 1724 F Street, N. W. Washington 25, D. C.	1
	•	Wright Air Development Center Atta: ARL Tech. Library, WCRR Wright-Patterson Air Ferce Sec., Ohio	1	Washington 25, U. C.	•
Commanding Officer Diamond Ordanos Fuze Labs. Washington 25, D. C.				Notional Applied Mathematics Labs. National Burgau of Standards Washington 25, D. C.	_
Washington 25, D. C.	1	Commander Wastern Development Division, WDSIT		Washington 25, D. C.	1
Commanding Officer		Commission Western Development Division, WDSIT P.O. Box 262 Inglewood, California	1	Neval Inspector of Ordnance U.S. Neval Gan Factory Washington 25, D. C. Attn: Mrs. C. D. Hock	
Pleating Arsend (ORDSS-THS) Dever, New Jersey	1	Otto C. Barrent Otto les		Washington 25, D. C.	
		Chief, Research Division Office of Research & Development Office of Chief of Staff		Attin: Mrs. C. D. Heck	1
Commonding Officer Waterlann Arsenal (OMRO) Waterlann 72, Massachusetts	1	Office of Chief of Staff U.S. Army		Office, Asst. Chief of Staff, G-4 Research Branch, R & D Division Department of the Army Washington 25, D. C.	
	-	U.S. Army Washington 25, D. C.	1	Department of the Army	1
Commonding Officer Attn: W. A. Labs Watersom Arsonal Watersom 72, Massachusetts		Chief, Computing Laboratory Ballistic Research Laboratory			•
Wetertown Arsonal	1	Aberdoon Proving Ground, Maryland	1	Superintendent U.S. Nevy Pestgraduste School Menterey, California Attn: Library	
		Director		Monterey, California	1
Commanding Officer Watervilot Arsenel	1	Director National Security Agency Attn: REMP-1			•
Wetervilet, New York		Fort George G. Mondo, Maryland	2	Technical Infermation Officer Mayal Research Laboratory	
Commanding Officer		Director of Operations		Neval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D. C.	6
Attn: Inspection Division Springfield Armery	1	Director of Operations Operations Analysis Div., AFOOP Hq., U.S. Air Force Washington 25, D. C.	_	Technical Information Service	
Springfield, Messachusetts	•	Weshington 25, D. C.	1	P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, Tennessee	
Commanding Officer		Almatea		Oak Ridge, Tennessee	1
Unit, EDL		Snow, Ice & Permafrest Research Establishment		Technical Library Branch	
9560 Technical Service UNIX P O. Rev. 205		Corps of Engineers 1215 Washington Averue Wilmotte, Illinois		Code 234 U.S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory	
Camending Officer Signal Corps Electronic Research Unit, EDL 9560 Technical Service Unit P. O. Ben 205 Mountain View, California	1	Wilmette, Illinois	1	Code 234 U.S. Navel Ordnance Laboratory Attn: Claybern Graves Cerena, California	1
Commanding Officer		Director			
9550 Technical Service Unit Army Llaisen Group, Project Michigan		Lincoln Laboratory Lexington, Massachusetts	1	Institute for Defense Analyses Communications Research Division	
9550 Technical Service Unit Anny Liaison Group, Project Michigen Wileur Run Research Center Ypsilanti, Michigen	1			ven Neumann Hall Princeton, New Jersey	1
		Department of Mathematics Michigan State University	1	FARMAGONIE AND TOTAL	
Commanding Officer Engineering Research & Development Labs Fort Belvoir, Virginia	· 1	East Lansing, Michigan	•		
Fert Beivelr, Virginia	-			August 1962	

tår. Irving 8. Altman Inspection & QC Division Office, Aust. Secretary of Defense Reom 28870, The Pentagon Washington 25, D. C.	1	Professor Salemen Kullback Department of Statistics George Washington University Washington 7, D. C.	1	Professor L. J. Savege Methematics Department University of Michigan Ann Arber, Michigan	
Professor T. W. Anderson Department of Statistics Columbia University New York 27, New York	1	Professor W. H. Kruskal Department of Statistics The Jinhersity of Chicago Chicago, Illinois	1	Professor W. L. Smith Statistics Department University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina	
Professor Robert Seatheler Dept. of Industrial and Engineering Administration Shiley School of Mechanical Engineering Cornell University Phace, New York		Professor Eugene Lukacs Department of Mathematics Catholic University Washington 15, D. C.	1	Dr. Milton Sobel Statistics Openiment University of Minneseta Minnespolis , Minneseta	
	1	Dr. Craig Maguire 2954 Winshester Way Ranche Cardevs, California	1	Mr. G. P. Steek Division 5511 Sandia Carp., Sandia Base Albuquarque, New Maxies	
Processor Fred. C. Andrews Department of Mathematics University of Oregon Eugene, Oregon	1	Professor G. W. McEireth Department of Mochanical Engineering University of Minneesta Minneapel is 24, Minneasta	1	Albuquerque, New Mexico Professor Dennid Truns Department of Manhematics University of Oregan Eugene, Oregan	;
Professor Z. W. Birnbaum Department of Mathematics University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington	1	Dr. Knex Y. Milisaps Enceutive Director Air Force Office of Scientific Research Washington 25, D. C.	•		1
Dr. David Blackwell Department of Mathematical Sciences University of California Berkeley 4, California	•	B. P. Nameton	1	Profesor John W. Takey Department of Methomatics Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey	1
Berkeley 4, California Professor Raigh A. Bradley Department of Statistics Florida State University Tallahassoe, Florida	1	Chief, Ind. Engr. Div. Comptreller No., San Berneritte Air Materiel Area USAF, Nerton Air Ferce Base, California Professor Edwin G. Olds.	1	Professor G. S. Whitson Department of Methamatics University of Terento, Terento S. Ontario, Canada	1
Flerida State University Taliahassoo, Flerida Dr. John W. Celi	1	Professor Edwin G. Olds Department of Mathematics Cologo of Engineering and Sciences Carnople Institute of Technology Pittsburgh 13, Penneyivania	1	Dr. Herry Wolngarton Special Projects Office, 8P2016 Navy Department Washington 25, D. C.	
Dr. John W. Cell Department of Mathematics North Carolina State Cellege Raicigh, Horth Carolina	1	Dr. William R. Pabat Baroou of Weapons Rosm 0306, falan Navy Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.	•	Pr. F. J. Wayl, Director Mathematical Sciences Division Office of Nevel Research Washington 25, D. C.	1
Professer William G. Cocken Department of Statistics Harvard University 2 Divinity Avenue, Ream 311 Cambridge 38, Massachusetts			1	Washington 25, D. C.  Dr. John Wilkes Office of Havel Research, Code 200 Washington 25, D. C.	1
Cambridge 38, Massachusetts Miss Bosse 8. Day Purenu of Shipe, Code 3420	1	Mr. Edward Paulson 72-10 41 Ave. Woodslde 77 New York, New York	1		1
Miss Bosse B. Day burson of Shipe, Code 342D team 3230. Main Mary Jepartment of the Mary Mashington 25, D. C.	1	H. Walter Price, Chief Reliability Branch, 750 Diament Ordence Fuse Laboratory Room 105, Building 83 Washington 25, D. C.		Professor S. S. Wilks Department of Methomatics Princeton University Princeton, New Jarsey	1
or. Walter L., Deemer, Jr., Decrations Analysis Div., DCE/O N., U.S. Air Ferce Vashington 25, D. C.	1	Professor Bassel & D. L.	1	Mr. Silas Williams Standards Branch, Pres. Div. Office, DCZ, for Legistics Department of the Army Washington 25, D. C.	
hofesser Cyrus Derman lept. of Industrial Engineering Columbia University lew York 27, New York	•	Mathematics Department University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington Dr. Paul Ridge	1	Professor Jacob Wolfsontz Department of Mahbanaties Carnell University Ithaca, New York	1
iew York 27, New York v. Denald P. Gever festinghouse Research Labs, leadah Rd. – Charchill Bare, Itisburgh 35, Pa.	1	Dr. Paul Rider Wright Air Development Center, WCRRM Wright-Pattersen A.F.B., Ohle Professor Herbert Robbins	1		1
r. Hereld Gunhal	1	Dept. of Mathematical Statistics Columbia University New York 27, New York	1	Mr. William W. Welman Code MER - Bidg. T-2 Roam CSO1 700 Jackson Place, N. W. Washington 25, D. C.	1
eed , Operations Research Group ede 01–2 Bolific Missila Panna		Professor Murray Resemblett Department of Mathematics Brewn University Providence 12, Rhode Island		Mervin Zeien Mathematics Recearch Center U. S. Army University of Wisconsin Madison S., Wisconsin	
or 1 oint Mugu, California r. Ivan Hershner Mice, Chief of Rassech & Dev	1	Professor Horman Rubin Department of Statistics Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan	1		1
fice, Chief of Research & Dev .S. Army, Research Division 3E382 ashington 25, D. C. refessor W. Hirach	1		1	Additional copies for project leader and easietants and reserve for future requirements	50
refesser W. Hirech stitute of Methematical Sciences nw Yerk University nw Yerk 3, New Yerk	1	Professor J. S. Russagi College of Madicine University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohie	1		
r. Eugene Hixson ide 600.1 SFC, MASA eenbalt, Maryland	1	Professor I. R. Savage School of Business Administration University of Minnesota Mirmoapelis, Minnesota	1		
ofesser Hareid Hetelling	•	Miss Marion M. Sandomire 2281 Coder Street Barkeley 9. California			

#### JOINT SERVICES ADVISORY GROUP

Mr. Fred Frishman Army Research Office Arlington Hall Station Arlington, Virginia	1	Lt. Col. John W. Querry, Chief Applied Mathematics Division Air Force Office of Scientific Research Washington 25, D. C.
Mrs. Dorothy M. Gilford Mathematical Sciences Division Office of Naval Research Washington 25, D. C.	3	Major Oliver A. Shaw, Jr. Mathematics Division Air Force Office of Scientific Research Washington 25, D. C. 2
Dr. Robert Lundegard Logistics and Mathematical Statistics Branch Office of Naval Research Washington 25, D. C.	1	Mr. Carl L. Schaniel Code 122 U.S. Naval Ordnance Test Station China Lake, California
Mr. R. H. Noyes Inst. for Exploratory Research USASRDL Fort Monmouth, New Jersey	ı	Mr. J. Weinstein Institute for Exploratory Research USASRDL Fort Monmouth, New Jersey